### STATE OF ARIZONA

MAY 5 2000

# DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

DEPT. OF INS	SURANCE
--------------	---------

In the Matter of:	)	
SUPERIOR PACIFIC CASUALTY	)	Docket Number 00A-040-INS
COMPANY (NAIC No. 30570)	)	CONSENT ORDER
	)	
Respondent.	)	
	)	
	)	

On March 14, 2000, the Director issued an order summarily suspending the certificate of authority of Superior Pacific Casualty Company ("Respondent"). Respondent has been advised of its right to a hearing in this matter, which it waives. Respondent admits the following Findings of Fact are true and consents to entry of the following Conclusion of Law and Order. Respondent and the Arizona Department of Insurance ("Department") agree to modify the suspension of Respondent's certificate of authority based on the facts and pursuant to the terms set forth herein.

### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Respondent is domiciled in California and holds a certificate of authority issued by the Arizona Department of Insurance ("Department") to transact casualty insurance and is authorized to write workers' compensation insurance in Arizona.
- 2. On or about March 2, 2000, the Insurance Commissioner of the State of California ("California Commissioner") issued a Seizure Order against Respondent for the summary seizure of all property, business, books, records and accounts, offices and premises pursuant to California Insurance Code Section 1013. The California Commissioner determined the following: that Respondent is in such condition that the further transaction of business will be, and is, hazardous to its policyholders, creditors and the public; that Respondent is insolvent within the meaning of the California Insurance Code; that

23 || .

Respondent does not meet the requirements of issuance to it of a certificate of authority; and that irreparable loss or injury to the property and business of Respondent has or may occur unless the California Commissioner acts immediately to take possession.

- 3. On or about March 6, 2000, the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles issued an Ex Parte Order appointing Conservator and Restraining Orders appointing the California Commissioner as the Conservator for Respondent.
- 4. Since the entry of the Order Summarily Suspending Certificate of Authority, the Conservator has requested that Respondent be permitted to issue new and renewal workers' compensation insurance policies in Arizona. In support of the Conservator's request, the Conservator has provided the Department with a copy of "Superior Companies Interim Cut-Through Reinsurance Agreement No. SC-LMC 00-001" between the Conservator and Lumbermens Mutual Casualty Company ("Kemper") signed on April 5, 2000 (referred to herein as the "Reinsurance Agreement"). The stated purpose of the Reinsurance Agreement is to permit Respondent to "function with enhanced safety for its policyholders during this interim period pending final disposition of [Respondent's] business and operations by the Court."
- 5. The Reinsurance Agreement provides that Kemper will indemnify Respondent with respect to one hundred percent of all Loss and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses as to "Eligible Policies" issued, renewed or originated by Respondent on April 5, 2000 through July 3, 2000, unless the Reinsurance Agreement is terminated sooner in accordance with the termination provisions in the Reinsurance Agreement. The Reinsurance Agreement further provides that in the event there is a final order entered by a court of competent jurisdiction placing Respondent into liquidation, Kemper shall assume directly all obligations under all policies reinsured by this Reinsurance Agreement

6. "Eligible policies" are defined in the Reinsurance Agreement as

New and renewal direct Workers Compensation, Employer's Liability and USL&H policies and/or endorsements issued by SC during the term of this Agreement shall be ceded hereunder provided that (a) the policy does not cover exposures on the list of ineligible classifications and operations attached [to the Agreement] as Exhibit I and has been co-underwritten in accordance with and within one of the classes described in that document entitled "SC Interim Underwriting Guidelines," provided by Kemper to the Conservator, (b) the policy has been co-underwritten by Kemper, (c) Kemper has approved the pricing and risk selection of the policy, and (d) Kemper has provided its prior written approval to issue such policy. Kemper retains the discretion to waive, in whole or in part, the conditions described in the preceding sentence.

7. The Conservator has represented to the Director that Respondent's issuance of "Eligible

Policies" as defined in the Reinsurance Agreement is not hazardous to Respondent's Arizona policyholders, creditors and the public.

# **CONCLUSION OF LAW**

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact, Respondent's issuance of "Eligible Policies" as defined in the Reinsurance Agreement is not hazardous to the policyholders or to the people of this state, within the meaning of A.R.S. §20-220(A)(3) and A.A.C. R20-6-308.

#### **ORDER**

IT IS ORDERED modifying the suspension of Respondent's certificate of authority to permit Respondent to issue "Eligible Policies" in Arizona as follows:

- 1. The effective date of the Reinsurance Agreement was April 20, 2000, and Respondent is authorized, *nunc pro tunc*, to issue "Eligible Policies" in Arizona;
- 2. The Conservator shall notify the Department immediately upon receipt of a notice of termination of the Reinsurance Agreement given by either party to this Reinsurance Agreement or the final order of the Court directing such termination;

contained in this Consent Order, was made to it to induce it to enter into this Consent Order and that it 1 2 has entered into this Consent Order voluntarily. Respondent acknowledges that the acceptance of this Consent Order by the Director is 3 solely to modify the Order Summarily Suspending Certificate of Authority and does not preclude any 4 other agency, officer or subdivision of this state from instituting civil or criminal proceedings as may be 5 6 appropriate now or in the future. 7 By Ruhal L Tu-Conservator of Superior Pacific Casualty 8 9 COPY of the foregoing mailed/hand-delivered 10 this 5th day of May, 2000, to: 11 Sara M. Begley, Deputy Director Gerrie M. Marks, Executive Assistant 12 Gary A. Torticill, Assistant Director/Chief Examiner Deloris Williamson, Assistant Director 13 Arizona Department of Insurance 2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 210 14 Phoenix, Arizona 85018 15 California Department of Insurance 300 Capitol Mall, Suite 1500 16 Sacramento, California 95814 17 California Department of Insurance Ronald Reagan Building 18 300 South Spring Street Los Angeles, California 19 Bill Lockyer, Attorney General 20 Richard W. Bakke Mark P. Richelson 21 W. Dean Freeman Office of the California Attorney General 22 300 South Spring Street, Room 5212 Los Angeles, California 90013 23

Company

1	Larry J. Etchechury, Director
2	Industrial Commission of Arizona 800 West Washington Street
	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
3	
4	Shelby L. Cuevas, Assistant Attorney General Consumer Protection & Advocacy Section Office of the Arizona Attorney General
5	1275 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
6	and the second s
7	Superior Pacific Casualty Company P.O. Box 9850 Calabasas, California 91372-9850
8	Calabasas, California 71372-7630
J	Eric Bryant, Administrative Law Judge
9	Office of Administrative Hearings
	1400 West Washington, Suite 101
10	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
11	S. David Childers Low & Childers
12	2999 North 44 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 250
12	Phoenix, Arizona 85018
13	
14	1 B 4
15	uney Surlon
	Curvey Walters Burton
16	* Anysterior
17	
18	
19	
20	